FRIEDRICH-SCHILLER-UNIVERSITÄT JENA

Universitätsprojekt Lehrevaluation (ULe)

Course Evaluation

Modularised Questionnaire for Students

By answering this questionnaire, you can express your **personal opinion** about the present course and contribute to the improvement of the teaching quality. If a question is **not applicable** to this course, or you do **not want to respond**, select **no answer (n/a)**. The data of the questionnaire is collected pseudonymously by the Universitätsprojekt Lehrevaluation (www.ule.uni-jena.de) and will be made available to the lecturer in aggregated form in a report. More information on data privacy can be found at www.ule.uni-jena.de-/datenschutzhinweis.

Please mark your responses **with a dark pen**: ○ ○ ● ○ ○ □

Title	Lecturer	Date

For statistical purposes, please provide the following information.

Age in Years [ ][ ]   Semester [ ][ ]   Gender ○ female ○ diverse ○ male ○ n/a

Type of Degree ○ B.A. major subject ○ B.Sc. ○ M.A. ○ State Examination (not Teacher Training)
○ B.A. minor subject ○ Teacher Training ○ M.Sc. ○ Other ○ n/a

For scientific purposes only, please generate your panel key as specified below:

First letter of your place of birth (e.g. London), second letter of your first name (e.g. Susan), third letter of your last name (e.g. Brown), and the last two digits of your day and month of birth (e.g. 26.03.2000): **LUO63** [ ][ ][ ][ ][ ]

Please start with an overall assessment.

	disagree			agree	n/a
The course encourages me to ponder on and think further about the topic.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
The subject matter builds on my prior knowledge.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
The course follows a clearly recognizable concept (red thread).	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
I would recommend this course to my fellow students.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
The course is well coordinated with other courses of the same module.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
Overall, I am satisfied with this course.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□

By attending this course I have extended my competencies in the following areas:

	not at all			very much	n/a
Knowledge about facts and definitions	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
Knowledge about theories and models	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
Knowledge of research procedures and scientific methods	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
Application of knowledge, theories, and methods	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
Practical knowledge, knowledge relevant to the job	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
Key competencies (presenting, working in a team, literature research, ...)	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
Working independently	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□

	disagree			agree	n/a
Overall, I am satisfied with the competencies acquired in this course.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□

As a participant of this course, I ...

	disagree			agree	n/a
prepared myself appropriately for the individual sessions.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
actively participated in the course (questions, comments, discussions).	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
perceived the interaction among the participants as respectful.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
Overall, I am satisfied with the behaviour of most of the participants.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□

How many hours do you spend on self-study for **this course** per week? [ ][ ],[ ]

The lecturer...

	disagree			agree	n/a
has presented the aims and structure of the course in a comprehensible way.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
puts individual aspects into an overall thematic context.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
seems to be well prepared.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
shows a keen interest in the learning success of the students.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
takes up the participants' content-related suggestions and questions.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
is available for queries and further assistance if required.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
creates a stimulating working atmosphere.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
radiates enthusiasm for the science represented.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□
Overall, I am satisfied with the contribution of the lecturer to the course.	○ ○ ○ ○ ○				□

The lecturer ...

	disagree				agree	n/a
supports participants appropriately in working on the tasks.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
evaluates the results and solutions in detail with the participants.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
approaches results in a way that allows learning from mistakes.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
encourages questions and active participation.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
coordinates this course well with the main lecture.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
answers questions competently and understandably.	○	○	○	○	○	☐

The tasks, practical excercises etc. ...

	disagree				agree	n/a
are expressed in an understandable way.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
are of appropriate difficulty.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
build well on the knowledge of the main lecture.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
contribute to a deeper understanding of the contents.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
are discussed or corrected in a comprehensible manner.	○	○	○	○	○	☐

Please assess your workload for this course.

	too low				too high	n/a
The workload that is expected for that course is ...	○	○	○	○	○	☐
The amount of work that I put into that course is ...	○	○	○	○	○	☐
The amount of content covered in the course is ...	○	○	○	○	○	☐
For me the pace of the course feels ...	○	○	○	○	○	☐
The level of the course is ...	○	○	○	○	○	☐

	0	1	2	3	≥ 4	n/a
How many sessions of the course did you miss?	○	○	○	○	○	☐

Please evaluate the general conditions of your course.

	disagree				agree	n/a
The spatial conditions (size of the room, quality of the building, location, ...) are sufficient for this course.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
The time frame of the course (e.g. date, duration, overlaps) is appropriate.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
The equipment (technology, WiFi availability, models, ...) is appropriate for this course.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
The provided supplementary materials (literature, script, ...) are helpful.	○	○	○	○	○	☐
Overall, I am satisfied with the general conditions of the course.	○	○	○	○	○	☐

What did you particularly like about this course? (keywords are sufficient)

Which suggestions or ideas for improvement do you have? (keywords are sufficient)